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Summary

In this paper, an extract from fruits pods of Caesalpinia spinosa (tara) a native leguminosae widely distributed in Peru, known by its high tannin content is evaluated for its utilization in wood adhesives. Commercial pods of tara were extracted for 1 hour with water (1:4 w/v) at 65°C. The extract was spray-dried to obtain tara tannin. Spectrophotometric and chromatographic analysis were performed before and after hydrolysis to quantify amounts of free and combined components. Gallic acid concentration in the extract reached up to 53% and these results encourage us to further develop a method to extract gallic acid from tara pods (25% yield). The thermal behaviour of tara tannin-formaldehyde reaction at different pH conditions were investigated by thermoanalytical methods (Borchardt-Daniels and ASTM E-698). Kinetic parameters obtained were compared with those obtained for gallic acid-formaldehyde reaction.

Introduction

Vegetable tannins are classified in two major groups: the hydrolyzable and condensed tannins. The hydrolyzable tannins (Fig. 1) (Haslam 1966, 1989) are readily hydrolyzed by acids (or enzymes) into a sugar (1) or a related polyhydric alcohol and a phenolic carboxylic acid. Depending on the nature of the phenolic carboxylic acid, the hydrolyzable tannins are usually subdivided into gallotannins (2) and ellagitannins (4). Hydrolysis of gallotannins yields gallic...
acid (3) while that of ellagitannins, hexahydroxydiphenic acid (5), which is isolated normally as its stable dilactone, ellagic acid (6).

The condensed tannins or proanthocyanidins (Haslam 1966, 1989) are polyflavonoids in nature, consisting of chains of flavan-3-ol units. The most common class of proanthocyanidins are the procyanidins which consist of chains of catechin (7) and/or epicatechin (8) (Fig. 2) linked 4→6 or 4→8. In contrast to hydrolyzable tannins, condensed tannins undergo polymerization to the amorphous phlobaphens or tannin reds, under action of acids.

Hydrolyzable tannins from chestnut bark have been used, successfully, as partial substitutes (up to 50%) of phenol used in the manufacture of phenol-formaldehyde resins (Kulvick 1976, 1977). Our laboratories, interested in natural adhesives from renewable resources, are considering the fruits pods of *Caesalpinia spinosa* (tara) a native leguminose widely distributed in Peru, as starting material for developing substitutes for phenol in phenol-formaldehyde adhesives. The tannin concentration is greatest in the pods, which are pale yellow and/or red and when crushed constitute the tara powder of commerce. This environmentally friendly tanning agent is especially useful in manufacturing of furniture leather (Glenz 1991). Peruvian exportations of tara powder reached 6000 tons/year from 1991 to 1993 (SUNAD 1995). However the tara powder production is estimated to be 10000 tons/year in 1997 at a local cost of 400 US $/ton (Wilken 1996).

Notable work was carried out by Haslam *et al.* (1961, 1962) and Horler and Nursten (1961) who demonstrated that principal components of tara tannin were based on a galloylated quinic acid structure (Fig. 3). Thus they differ from other members of the hydrolyzable tannin group which are based upon a galloylated or ellagoylated hexose. Thus, formaldehyde reaction at the ortho position of a sufficiently large number of galloylated rings of tara tannins, would open the door to the formation of a three dimensional structure (cross-linking) upon curing. This type of network is generally regarded as the best adhesive system. However, the formation of such a system would be possible only if the ester groups remained untouched. Otherwise, hydrolysis would liberate gallic acid and thus, only two sites would be available to react with formaldehyde at both ortho-positions of the free gallic acid preventing formation of a three-dimensional thermoset network. Previous work on the reaction of gallic acid with formaldehyde (Garro Galvez *et al.* 1996) showed that optimal conditions are a molar ratio F/P of 2 at pH 8.1.

In order to determine how much gallic acid is present in tara tannin, a spray-dried aqueous extract was prepared, so as to obtain a tara tannin. Spectrophotometric, chromatographic and thermogravimetric (TGA and DTG) methods were used for its analysis.

In this work, the possibility of utilization of *Caesalpinia spinosa* (tara) in the manufacture of adhesives was studied. The pods of this species yield an important amount of hydrolyzable tannins (i.e gallic acid) that could react with formaldehyde under certain conditions. An extraction method of gallic acid from tara pods was developed and
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**Fig. 2. Precursor of condensed tannins.**

**Fig. 3. Galloylated quinic acid structure of tara tannin.**
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differential scanning calorimetric studies were performed in order to determine the reactivity of these materials towards formaldehyde.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Fruits pods of *Caesalpinia spinosa* (tara) were commercial product from Peru. Gallic acid was from Aldrich and ellagic acid and Hide Powder from Sigma Co. These were used without further purification.

**Tara tannin from tara pods**

The tara pods were air-dried and ground to a powder in a Wiley mill (6-mm screen). The powder, was then extracted for 1 hour with water (1:4w/v) at 65°C. The extract was vacuum filtered through celite and spiny-dried to obtain tara tannin (55% yield).

**Extraction of gallic acid from tara powder**

Tara powder (40 kg: 9% moisture) was extracted for 6h with demineralized water (1:10w/v) at 60°C. The extract was vacuum filtered through celite and concentrated under vacuum at 60°C to one tenth of its original volume. Hydrolysis was carried out with 48% NaOH (1:1v/v) for 6h at 102°C. The solution was cooled at 30°C and neutralized with 60% H2SO4. Adjusting pH at 2 and cooling to 10°C initiated crystallization of crude gallic acid (11 kg). Recrystallization from demineralized water with activated carbon (3: 11 w/w) afforded pure gallic acid (8.9 kg: 97% HPLC purity: 1.48 % moisture content and 25% yield from tara powder, anhydrous base).

**Moisture content (Karl-Fischer Method)**

The samples of tara tannin and gallic acid were analyzed with a Metrohm E 547 automatic Karl-Fischer titrator utilizing a dead stop endpoint method. The titrator had an attached E 415 Multi Dosimat motor-driven piston burette with drum counter indicator. The samples (200 mg) were introduced into the titration vessel and dispensed into the middle of the vessel solution, taking care not to allow the sample to cling to the walls of the vessel. The titration is monitored by the continuous measurement of current flow in the solution and is terminated when the current reading is greater than 15 mA for 30 sec. The volume of titrant (V) displayed in mL is used to calculate the water content in the analyzed sample as follows:

\[
\% \text{H}_2\text{O} = 100 \times \frac{V}{\text{titer}} \times \text{sample wt. (mg)}
\]

where: titer is the weight (mg) of water that reacts with 1 mL of Karl-Fischer reagent.

**Hyde powder test** *(Roux 1951; Gordon-Gray 1957)*

Samples (400 mg) of tara tannin were dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water. Slightly chromated hide-powder (3gr) previously dried in vacuum for 24h over CaCl2 was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. The suspension was filtered without vacuum through a sintered glass filter. The weight gain of the hyde-powder expressed as a percentage of the weight of the starting material was equated to the percentage of tannin in the sample.

**Stiasny test** *(Hillis and Urbach 1959; Hillis and Yazaki 1980)*

Samples (100 mg) of tara tannin were dissolved in 10 mL of distilled water. 1 mL of 10M HCl and 2 mL of formaldehyde (37%) were added and the mixture heated under reflux for 30 min. The reaction mixture was filtered while hot through a sintered glass filter. The precipitate was washed with hot water (5x 10 mL) and dried over CaCl2. The yield of tannin was expressed as a percentage of the weight of the starting material.

**Gallic acid determination** *(Hagerman and Inoue 1988)*

Samples (50 mg) of tara tannin in 5 mL of 2N H2SO4 were put into constricted test tubes and frozen. The tubes were vacuum-sealed and heated for 24h at 100°C. The tubes were cooled, opened find the contents made up to 30.0 mL with water. Then 1.5 mL of 0.667% w/v rhodanine in methanol (freshly prepared) and 1.0 mL of sample were mixed. After exactly 5 min, 0.5N KOH solution (1.0 mL) was added. After 2.5 min the mixture was diluted to 25.0 mL with distilled water and 5–10 min later the absorbance at 520 nm was measured. The measured absorbance obeys the relationship: 

\[
A_{520} = 0.13 \times (\text{mg of gallic acid}) + 0.03
\]

**Ellagic acid determination** *(Hagerman and Wilson 1990)*

Samples (10 mg) of tara tannin in 2N H2SO4 (1 mL) were put into constricted test tubes and frozen. The tubes were vacuum-waled and heated for 24h at 100°C. Tubes were cooled, opened, and the filtered content made up to 10.0 mL with pyridine. Then 1.1 mL of pyridine and 1 mL of sample were mixed in a dry test tube. After adding 0.10 mL of concentrated HCl and mixing, the sample was brought to 30°C. The sample was quickly mixed after 0.10 mL of 1% (w/v) NaNO3 in H2O was added and the absorbance 538 nm was immediately recorded. After a 36 min incubating period at 30°C, the absorbance was again recorded. The difference between the initial absorbance and the absorbance at 36 min. ( \(\Delta A_{538}\)) was proportional to the ellagic acid concentration. The measured absorbance obeys the relationship: 

\[
A_{538} = 0.03 \times (\text{mg of ellagic acid}) - 0.04
\]

**HPLC determinations** *(Haluk et al. 1992)*

Samples (4.8 g) of tara tannin in H2O (9 mL) were hydrolyses in alkaline conditions (refluxed in 40% NaOH (4.2 mL) for 6h (pH = 12 - 13)). After neutralization (pH = 6.8 - 7) with 62% H2SO4, the samples were analyzed with the following elution conditions: isocratic system for solvent H2O/CH3OH/H3PO4 in different proportions for gallic acid (975.5/19.5/1 v/v/v) and ellagic acid (449.5/449.5/1 v/v/v); flow rate, 1 mL/min.; U.V. detection at 280 nm. Analysis was run on a Lichrospher RP 18 E equipped with a 10 cm 5-µm-Lichrocart column (Merck).
Sugars analysis (Pettersen and Schwandt 1991)

Tara tannin extract was dried in vacuum at 45°C overnight. Sugars analysis was performed on the extract to determine free, monomeric sugars and after hydrolysis to determine the total sugars (i.e., free plus combined sugars).

Free sugars

Water (28 mL) was added to a sample of the tannin extract (200 mg). Fucose solution (0.5 mL) of known concentration was added as the internal standard. The sample was solubilized by probe sonication for one minute. Nonsoluble material was removed by filtering through a nitrocellulose filter cartridge (0.45 mm). The cartridge was thoroughly rinsed with water. The combined filtrate was made to a known volume and analyzed for sugars by anion exchange chromatography as described below.

Total sugars

Hydrolysis consists of a primary hydrolysis followed by a secondary hydrolysis. The dried tannin extract (200 mg) was hydrolyzed (primary hydrolysis) in 72% HSO_4 (2.0 mL) for 1 h at 30°C. The sample was then diluted to 4% HSO_4 with distilled H_2O, fucose was added as an interred standard, and the secondary hydrolysis was performed for 1 h at 121°C. The sample, after cooling, was filtered through a PTFE membrane (0.45 mm) prior to analysis as described below. To measure the extent of sugar degradation during secondary hydrolysis, a standard mixture of sugars was hydrolyzed in parallel. Sugar degradation during primary hydrolysis was minimal and thus ignored.

Anion exchange chromatography

Sugar contents of the solutions prepared above were determined by high performance liquid chromatography using an anion exchange column and pulsed amperometric detection. The chromatographic system consists of a 738 Autosampler (Alcott), a GPM-1 Quaternary Gradient High Pressure Pump (Dionex), and a Pulsed Ampermetric Detector (Dionex).

Separation of the sample into individual sugars was achieved with a Carbo-Pak PA 1 analytical column (Dionex). A NG 1 IonPak guard column (Dionex) and a Carbo-Pak PA 1 guard column (Dionex) were placed in line prior to the analytical column. The NG 1 IonPak guard column removes hydrophobic interferences by solid phase extraction. A time-programmed valve diverts flow around the NG 1 IonPak guard column 1 min after injection of the sample. The individual sugars were eluted with water at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. For detection, 300 mM NaOH was added as post-column reagent at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Prior to each injection, the anion exchange column was conditioned with a mixture of NaOH and sodium acetate for 10 min, then equilibrated with distilled water for 10 min.

Adhesive preparation

Tannin concentration in the extract was expressed as equivalents of gallic acid and the quantity of formaldehyde was estimated accordingly to the molar ratio of formaldehyde to gallic acid (F/Ga) of 2. The pH was adjusted to desired values with 50% (w/w) aqueous NaOH in order not to change the solids contents (48%) of the final adhesive. The reaction was carried out for 15 min at room temperature.

Thermal analysis methods

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermogravimetric analysis (DTG) were carried out in a Mettler TA 400 thermal analysis system with DSC 20. Experiments were done at a heating rate of 20°C/min in static air and sample masses were about 10 mg.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed with software furnished by Mettler which contained the Borchardt and Daniels kinetic model as well as Avrami and most usually encountered kinetic models used in thermal analysis. A20 to 30 mg sample (anhydrous weight of the liquid sample) was sealed in a high pressure capsule pan which can withstand up to 20 bars. The capsule containing the sample and the reference capsule were transferred to the DSC sample holder assembly which were set at 25°C. A heating rate of 10.0°C/min was used up to 250°C. Temperature and enthalpy calibrations were performed with Indium. Cure kinetics data were analyzed by Borchardt-Daniels method (Borchardt and Daniels 1957; Prime 1981) and ASTM E-698 method (Ozawa 1970; Duswalt 1974). In this case, several different heating rates were used.

Results and Discussion

Analytical results

Various methods of analysis are available for the determination of tannin concentration in the extracts. These methods can generally be grouped into two broad types:

1. Methods aimed at determining what percentage of the extract participates in leather tanning: The classical method officially used by the leather industry is the Hide-powder method. It is based on the binding of tannins to protein and can be performed with simple apparatus. For adhesives, the main drawback of such a technique is its inability to detect monoflavonoids, biflavonoids or phenolic non-tannins present in the extract, which do not contribute to tanning capacity but which do react with formaldehyde and contribute to adhesive properties. According to this test, 59.7% weight-percent of the tara extract is tannin (Table 1).

2. Methods aimed at determining what percentage of the extract can react with formaldehyde: The classical method is the Stiasny method, based on the reaction of flavonoid structures of condensed tannin with formaldehyde. Though it is known that tara tannin is of the hydrolyzable type we have done the analysis for comparative reasons. In the particular conditions of this test, only 25.5% weight-percent of tara tannin is expressed as tannin content (Table 1).

Each method for determining tannin content is only applicable in specific conditions. The hyde- powder method is used with condensed as well as with hydrolyzable tannins since both classes of tannins interact with proteins (Hagerman and Klucher 1986). The Stiasny method is used with condensed tannins because of the reactivity of its flavonoid structure with formaldehyde. The results of these two pre-
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liminary methods of analysis are in agreement with the literature (Haslam 1989; Tang et al. 1992) showing that tara tannins are of the hydrolyzable type. However, it has not been shown whether tara tannin is a gallotannin or an ellagitannin. In order to further determine the chemical nature of the tara tannin, we have carried out the spectrophotometric and chromatographic analyses reported herein.

**Gallotannins**

A reliable method for quantitative analysis of gallotannins uses rhodanine to determine gallic acid. Rhodanine reacts with the vicinal hydroxyl groups of gallic acid to give a red complex with a maximum absorbance at 520nm. The unreacted rhodanine, in the basic conditions of the test, has a maximum absorbance at 412nm and no absorbance at wavelengths higher than 450nm. The red colour was formed only with free gallic acid and not with gallic acid esters, ellagic acid or other phenolics which may be present in the extract. The rhodanine assay was standardized with gallic acid (Fig. 4). The assay gives a linear response with up to 0.2 mg of gallic acid. Two separate assays were carried on, one was done before hydrolysis to quantify the free gallic acid (2.6%) and the other was done after acid hydrolysis to quantify total gallic acid (53.1%). The results are shown in Table 1.

**Ellagitannins**

The spectrophotometric method for determination of ellagic acid is based on the formation of a red quinone oxime (Fig. 5) of the ellagic acid nitrosylation product (electrophilic aromatic substitution).

The colour is produced by reacting the sample at 30°C with sodium nitrite in pyridine, using HCl as catalyst. The method is selective, with positive reaction from free ellagic acid but not from a variety of other common plant phenolics including gallic acid, ellagic acid esters, pro-anthocyanidins and flavonoids. The method was standardized with commercial ellagic acid (Fig. 6) and the response became non linear at absorbances above 1.1. The smallest amount of ellagic acid detectable was 1 µg.

In order to determine free (1.6%) and total ellagic acid (6.9%), two assays were performed on each sample; before hydrolysis and after hydrolysis (Table 1).

Both of the spectrophotometric methods used for determination of gallic and ellagic acid contents of the tara extract were performed after acid hydrolysis. For comparison purposes, we hydrolyzed the tara extract under alkaline conditions (see Experimental) and, after neutralization, analyzed the hydrolyzate by HPLC. This method indicated that the tara tannin extract was composed of 41% gallic acid and 4.8% ellagic acid.

![Fig. 5. Formation of ellagic acid and its reaction with the electrophile NO⁺.](image)

![Fig. 6. Calibration of nitrite assay with ellagic acid.](image)
It is quite likely that gallic acid (2.6%) and ellagic acid (1.6%) are present in small quantities as free acids in the pods of *Caesalpinia spinosa*. After hydrolysis, gallic acid is liberated to a large extent (41–53%) and ellagic acid in smaller quantities (4.8–6.9%). Thus, it can be stated that tara tannins are predominantly gallotannins rather than ellagitannins.

**Carbohydrates**

The sugars were separated by chromatography over an anion exchange column followed by pulsed amperometric detection. Samples were analyzed before and after hydrolysis to determine the amounts of sugars that occurred in the tara extract as free monomers and in the form of polymers or other bound sugars. Table 2 summarizes the results.

Arabinose, galactose, rhamnose, glucose, xylose and mannose were detected in the tara tannin extract. These are the sugars that are normally observed in biomass sources such as wood. Except for glucose, only trace amounts of the free monomers were observed. After hydrolysis significant amounts of all the sugars were observed. This indicates that the sugars in the tara extract occur predominately in combined form, e.g., as polysaccharides or as hydrolyzable tannins.

Three unknown compounds were observed in the HPLC analyses of the tara extracts. Unknown A was only observed in the free (unbound) state since the amount before and after hydrolysis were, within experimental error, the same. In the case of unknowns B and C, the amount after hydrolysis (identified as “total” in Table 2) was less than that for the uncombined materials (identified as “free” in Table 2). This indicates that unknowns B and C were not stable under the conditions used for the hydrolysis of the tara tannin extract.

Unknown C was tentatively identified as fructose based upon its retention time during HPLC analysis, the fact that fructose is readily degraded by the hydrolysis conditions used, and the fact that fructose was identified as a component of the tara extract by combined gas liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy.

**Thermogravimetry**

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is a thermoanalytical method, in which the weight variation of a sample heated at a constant rate is measured continuously. From the time derivative of these spectra, differential thermogravimetric analysis (DTG), it is possible to obtain peak temperatures associated with a maximum rate of weight loss. In a previous paper (Garro Galvez *et al.* 1996) we studied the thermal decomposition of gallic acid. Results reported in this paper shown that three main peaks were detected in the DTG curve. The first one at 260°C (26–27%) corresponds to carbon dioxide release upon heating (decarboxylation), the second peak at 308°C (29%) probably correspond to the further loss of hydroxyls. The third peak at 503°C (45%) corresponds to oxidation of high carbon residue (CO₂, H₂O and CO).

The results of thermogravimetric analysis of tara extract are presented in Figure 7. Two main peaks are detected, the first at 260°C has the same peak temperature as that corresponding to decarboxylation of gallic acid. The high percentage of weight loss reported (50-52%) indicates that other constituents than gallic acid in the extract, also gave off CO₂ at this temperature. The second peak, at 431°C (39-40%), corresponds to further loss of weight by oxidation of residual carbons.

It is important to note that carbon dioxide released by carboxylated compounds in tara extract occurs at temperatures above those used in particleboard pressing (150°C–200°C).

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) has been used to follow the cure of thermosetting adhesives (Chow and Steiner 1979; Schneider *et al.* 1979; Christiansen and Gollob 1985) and tannin based adhesives (Fechtal and Riedl 1993). In such experiments, the heat capacity of a sample is compared to that of an inert reference material when both

---

Table 2. Carbohydrates contents of tara tannin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Free (%)</th>
<th>Combined (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabinose</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnose</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown A</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.39</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown B</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown C</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.04⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Calculated as the monomeric sugar. Percentages are based on the original weight of the tara extract and are reported as percent ± standard error. Values reported in the column labeled Free are the average of two analyses. Values reported in the column labeled Total are the average of seven analyses. The values reported in the column labeled Combined were obtained by subtracting the values in Free from the values in Total. The values in Total have been corrected for losses during hydrolysis, except where noted.² Corrected for losses during hydrolysis using the correction values obtained for glucose.³ Not corrected for losses during hydrolysis.⁵ Tentatively identified as fructose.
are heated. The polymerization process (cure) will release heat that can be measured as a peak exotherm as a function of increasing temperature. A kinetic model, as the Borchardt-Daniels model, describes the time and temperature dependence of material reactivity. The method assumes that the temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant \( k(T) \), follows the Arrhenius expression: 
\[
k(T) = Z e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}
\]
where \( Z \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E_a \) the activation energy (Kj/mol), \( R \) the gas constant (8.31 J/mol. °K) and \( T \) (°K) the absolute temperature.

Figure 8 shows thermograms of tara tannin-formaldehyde reaction recorded as a function of pH values (8.0, 8.8, 9.4, 10.2 and 11.1) and Table 3 the kinetic parameters obtained from Borchardt-Daniels method.

The trend for the activation energy (\( E_a \)) in terms of pH values reached minimum value (112 Kj/mol) at pH 8.8, to which corresponds a maximum value of the enthalpy (\( \Delta H = 55.3 J/g \)) of the reaction. \( E_a \) represents the minimal energy required for the reaction to take place e.g the higher the value, the more the reaction will proceed at high temperature, and \( \Delta H \) represents the energy liberated as a result of the reaction, roughly proportional to the amount of chemical bonds formed. Commercial adhesives such as urea-formaldehyde have a low \( E_a \), especially at low pH, and a high \( \Delta H \) while phenol-formaldehyde has a high \( E_a \), and needs high temperatures to cure rapidly, with high \( \Delta H \). Generally a minimal \( E_a \) with a maximum \( \Delta H \) are required as optimal conditions for a particular reaction. From Table 3, these conditions are best met at pH 8.8. Unfortunately the thermogram at this pH (Fig. 8b) shows that the area under the fit (\( \Delta H \)) is highly dependent on the baseline selection. For these cases (Schneider 1979), more precise information can be obtained from the ASTM E-698 method. This method is based on the linear relationship between the peak temperatures of the exotherms find the logarithm of the heating rate (Ozawa 1970). The kinetic parameter \( E_a \) can be obtained from the following relation: 
\[
\log \beta = -0.4567 \frac{E_a}{RT_p} + \text{cte}
\]
where \( \beta \) is the heating rate (°C/min), \( E_a \) the activation energy (kJ/mol), \( R \) the gas constant (8.31 J/mol.k) and \( T_p \) the peak temperature (°K).

According to Prime (1981), \( E_a \) can be obtained from the slope of \( \log \beta \) vs \( 1/T_p \) graph.

The method requires a minimum of three DSC scans at different heating rates and assumes that: the peak maximum represents a point of constant conversion for each heating rate and the temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant obeys the Arrhenius relationship. This peak maximum is evident from the spectra and independent of how the baseline was taken. Different heating rates are used to calculate the kinetics parameters. In contrast the Borchardt-Daniels model uses reaction rate and fractional conversion for the calculations, both parameters being dependent on the peak area which is greatly affected by the selection of the baseline.

The ASTM E-698 method was carried out for the reaction of tara tannin with formaldehyde (pH=8.8) at four different heating rates (2.5, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0°C/min). Thermograms are presented in Figure 9 and kinetic parameters reported in Table 4.

Values obtained for \( E_a \) are very similar for tara (67.5 Kj/mol) and gallic acid (64.9 Kj/mol). The value obtained for \( E_a \) by Borchardt-Daniels (Table 3: 112 Kj/mol) is overestimated in comparison with that from ASTM E-698, as previously found for gallic acid [79.8 Kj/mol (B/D) vs 64.9 Kj/mol (ASTM)] (Garro Galvez et al. 1996). Thus while the kinetics of cure, as shown by \( E_a \) are acceptable.

Table 3. Kinetic analysis of the DSC with scan rate of 10°C/min for tara tannin-formaldehyde reaction products as a function of pH (Values for gallic acid and phenol are also presented for comparison)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic parameters</th>
<th>Product (pH)</th>
<th>Tara tannin (8.0)</th>
<th>Tara tannin (8.8)</th>
<th>Tara tannin (9.4)</th>
<th>Tara tannin (10.2)</th>
<th>Tara tannin (11.1)</th>
<th>Gallic acid (8.1)</th>
<th>Phenol (10.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_a ) (Kj/mol)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta H ) (J/g)</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>192.2</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Temp. (°C)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values obtained with Borchard-Daniels kinetic model.
the ultimate amount of cure, as given approximately by \( \Delta H \) is not high: the values for the enthalpies of reaction (Table 3), which are proportional to the amount of chemical bonds formed, for tara tannin-formaldehyde (\( \Delta H = 55 J/g \)) remain very low in comparison to those for gallic acid (\( \Delta H = 192 J/g \)) and commercial PF resins (\( \Delta H = 284 J/g \)). It is especially true at high pH 10–11 (\( \Delta H = 5.2–8.3 J/g \)) where probably the basic catalyst react with carboxylic groups of gallic acid and no addition of formaldehyde, nor condensation product, shows up.

**Conclusion**

In Peru, the cost of tara powder is 400US$/ton and the native production reaches 6000 tons/year. Recently, several agro-industrial projects have been oriented to increase the productivity of tara crops, so that the production could reach 10000 tons/year in 1997.

The results obtained in this study show that gallic acid is the main constituent of tara tannins (53%) and it was easily isolated by alkaline hydrolysis of the plant extract (25% yield).

In the total sugars present in the extract (9.6%), glucose has the biggest concentration (3.1%). Other constituents are present to a less important extent (i.e. ellagic acid, 6.9%). Thus tara tannins are predominantly gallotannins rather than ellagitannins.

Thermal analysis of the reaction between tannins of *Caesalpinea spinosa* (tara) and formaldehyde showed that tara tannins are not reactive enough towards formaldehyde and this may eventually be associated to weak mechanical board properties. Even though previous work on gallic acid-formaldehyde showed that this reaction could be achieved under certain controlled conditions, probably the presence of sugars and the consumption of the base catalyst hydrolyzing the ester bonds of the extract reduced its reactivity.

However the development of an efficient method for extraction of gallic acid from tara pods suggest a more imaginative use of this compound. Gallic acid could be easily decarboxylated to obtain pyrogallol. This product, like phenol, presents three activated positions for reaction with formaldehyde.

Pyrogallo-formaldehyde has been evaluated as thermosetting adhesive for particleboard requiring lower pressing temperatures, shorter pressing times and showing comparable mechanical properties than those boards manufacture with commercial phenol-formaldehyde. These results are presented in a separate study.
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